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Abstract
A novel diagnosis method has been developed based on transistor operating point analysis. The method is worked by the way of fault logic propagation trace based on voltage value and the way of operation time setting of each gate circuit. The former way is to detect penetration current net result from fault, replace the net with impedance net, calculate voltage value of each node of the impedance net by OHM's law, and then sequentially trace the fault logic propagation. The latter way is to set a standardized operation time at each gate circuit, and then, the virtual terminal is prepared at output point of gate unit. The proposed method makes it possible to detect not only signal propagation of each gate in order of time, but oscillation phenomenon brought by feedback fault.
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1. Introduction

Since an advanced LSI with large-scaled and multi-metal layers makes it difficult to detect fault portions by only physical analysis tool, fault diagnosis technology has been developed to assist it. Until now, diagnosis has aimed to detect irregular portions on connection line between cells. Recent LSI has been, however, converting to design surrounding, which brings the strictness of design rule and the increment of 500 transistors or more in cell circuit, and hence transistor level diagnosis taking notice of intra-cell circuit has been requested. SPICE (Simulation Program with Integrated Circuit Emphasis) being one of representative transistor level analysis tools is wildly used to evaluate precisely circuit behavior. SPICE is, however, non-effective tool for a diagnosis expecting simple operation and short turn around time (TAT).
To clean up the above problems, a effective diagnosis technology has been
developed which is substituted for SPICE [1,2]. A simplified diagnosis procedure is
shown in Fig. 1. The diagnosis procedure starting from fault circuit area, which has
un-adjusted relation between IN and OUT logic detected by publicly known
technology, takes candidate fault portions out of layout data. Each fault is embedded
on the circuit and the relation between IN and OUT logic is studied by logic
simulation based on voltage. The portion bringing the logic according with real fault
logic is determined as high reliable fault portion. After that, physical analysis of each
reliable portion is started.
The purpose of this paper is to show the voltage based diagnosis method to trace
fault path by transistor operating analysis. The paper consists of 5 sections. In
section 2, the way of candidate faults detection using layout data is presented.
Section 3 introduces a concept of the proposed diagnosis technology, detection
procedure and device for fault path tracing. In section 4, a couple of diagnosis result
using the proposed technology are reviewed. Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. Candidate faults detection by layout data

The way of candidate faults detection using layout data is presented. The detection
of candidate fault portions embedded on the circuit is described. Two kinds of
bridging faults are adjoining and crossed lines. These portions are easy to detect by
layout data: DEF, LEF and Cell design data. For the selection of more reliable fault
portions, the priority ranking is applied by using the size of the area that laps by
expanding the line width (see Fig. 2).

3. Diagnosis concept

In this session, after a concept of the diagnosis procedure is presented, the detection
way of impedance value being basic to this technology is described. One way is
transistor dimension, and other is its operating point. Next, the detection procedure is
presented, and finally device for fault path tracing is introduced.

3.1. Diagnosis concept

The diagnosis technology being assist tool of physical analysis is requested with
easy operation and short TAT. One of simple technologies is switching level
simulation (SLS). SLS has been wildly used to evaluate the CMOS logic which
treats each transistor as ON/OFF switching path. One of SLS applications is
switching path modeling treated as stuck-at fault [3,4]. Voltage rather than stuck-at
fault value is, however, beneficial data for precise fault diagnosis, and hence a novel
way combined SLS with voltage analysis on transistor level have been developed.
The concept of this way is that transistor discerned as ON-switching path by SLS is
replaced with impedance, and the circuit net composed of the transistors is replaced
with impedance net, following which voltage of each net node is computed by
OHM’s law. The impedance is calculated as the multiplication of the ratio of various
transistor dimension to standard one and the ratio of the inverse of gradient value of
various transistor operating point to one of normal operating point.
3.1.1. Transistor dimension

Transistor dimension formed with L (channel length) and W (channel width) is obtained by cell design data shown in Table 1. For the impedance detection of transistor with various dimension, the transistor composing Inverter gate is selected as standard transistor, and the ratio of L to W of the standard transistor is detected as normalized value. The impedance ratio of the various transistor is calculated as the ratio of L/W value to the normalized value.

3.1.2. Transistor operating point

The transistor operating point managed by gate voltage (V_G) affects impedance. When the power supply voltage (V_DD) is applied to the gate terminal, the operating point of N channel transistor (NchTr) and P channel transistor (PchTr) lies in non-saturation and cut_off area respectively, and the inverse of the gradient value between the NchTr’s operating point and the origin ( ‒ V_DS/ ‒ I_DS) is assigned as NchTr’s normal impedance value. When the ground (GND), the operating point of NchTr and PchTr lies in cut_off and non-saturation area respectively, and the inverse of the gradient value between the PchTr’s operating point and the origin ( V_DS/ I_DS) is assigned as PchTr’s normal impedance value. When the middle voltage out of V_DD or GND is applied to the gate terminal, the operating points of them are seated out of cut_off area and the impedance is several times larger than normal one

The detection of the impedance is explained using Inverter gate. Fig. 3 shows the voltage transfer characteristics (VTC) of Inverter gate (see Fig. 3(a)) and the drain current (I_DS) versus drain voltage (V_DS) characteristics of NchTr and PchTr (see Fig. 3(b)), composing the Inverter gate. The coordinate system of PchTr is displayed according with NchTr. The area within |dV_OUT/dV_IN| > 1 decided by VTC curve is defined as middle domain (MD) with large impedance. When V_A, being input-voltage(V_IN) shown in Fig.3(a), is applied to INPUT terminal of the gate, PchTr operating point and NchTr are settled at point A shown in Fig.3(b). As a result, the PchTr’s impedance / NchTr are calculated as the inverse of gradient value connecting point A and the origin(V_DD / 0) respectively, and the ratio of these values to the normal impedance value is computed.

For effective diagnosis, the table of impedance ratio of MD to normal domain is prepared on ahead. Fig. 4 shows the relation between VTC and impedance ratio, computed by Inverter gate designed with 0.35µm rule. The area within 35% for V_DD to 65% is defined as MD. The impedance ratio in the MD is classified five steps (2times, 3, 4, 5 and 6). The table shown in Fig. 4 denotes that PchTr / NchTr’s impedance ratio in the range from 35% of V_DD to 42% are 2 / 6, 42% to 48% are 3 / 5, 48% to 52% are 4 / 4, 52% to 58% are 5 / 3, and 58% to 65% are 6 / 2 respectively.

At Appendix, an example of impedance calculation is introduced.
3.2. Detection procedure

An impedance detection procedure depending on bridge is shown in Fig. 5. The circuit with miss function by bridge brings generally penetration current net. First, transistor with the gate terminal connected to the fault line is treated as ON-state, and all transistors on the penetration current net are replaced with ON-switching path by SLS, following which impedance value is added to the transistors. At the addition of impedance, the simulation is repeated to draw out the optimized relation between gate voltage and impedance. Next, the switching path net is replaced with impedance net. After that, voltage of each node on the impedance net is computed by OHM’s law.

3.3. Device for fault path tracing[5]

For fault path tracing, a virtual terminal (ViT) is prepared at output point which PchTr aggregate and NchTr one join. Each gate, composed of these transistors, is treated as one event unit of which operation time is defined as a normalized value “1”, and hence the logic propagation time is obtained in the total number of event that the logic passes through. The feedback fault circuit brought with bridge forms newly the penetration current net, and the gate which is connected through the feedback loop is treated as one event unit. When the output logic of newly event, brought with the feedback fault, repeats the reverse of logic synchronized with time progression, an oscillation of the circuit is recognized. The device makes it possible to detect not only signal propagation of each gate in order of time, but oscillation phenomenon brought by feedback fault.

4. Evaluation

In this session, the proposed diagnosis technology is evaluated. One is diagnosis precision by the comparison with SPICE, and other is unique way to detect an oscillation phenomenon brought by fault.

4.1. Comparison with SPICE simulation

Diagnosis accuracy was evaluated by using faulty Full Adder(FA) circuit with 3 inputs (A,B,C) and 2 outputs (COUT, SOUT). Table 2 shows logic table denoting normal logic and abnormal one. Abnormal logic in COUT was detected in 3 of 8 patterns which were combination with 3 input logic. SOUT was normal state. The 44 pieces of candidate fault patterns were detected by layout data, shown in Fig. 6. Note that line pairs between power supply voltage (VDD or GND) and signal are not illustrated. Each candidate fault portions was embedded in the FA circuit. Relation between IN and OUT logic was detected by the simulation shown in the following procedure, and the fault portions were narrowed down, according with real fault logic. The diagnosis judged adjoining pair between Inverter (Inv) output line and 2-INPUT NAND (2nN) output line as fault portion. Fig. 7 shows FA circuit with the detected bridge fault. Fig. 7(a) indicates gate level circuit image and Fig. 7(b) shows transistor level circuit of the detected fault area. The logic function of In1 and In2,
being INPUT terminal, are indicated as NOT(A+B) and C respectively.

When input-logic (1,1) is applied to input-terminal (In1, In2), normal circuit brings ON-state to N1, N3 and P2, and output(Out) does output “H” level. The circuit with the bridge, however, forms a penetration current net connecting from V_DD to GND through P2 and N1, and the voltage of the line connected to P2 and N2 gate terminal changes from GND to middle voltage out of V_DD or GND, following which a penetration current net pass through P2, N2 and N3 is newly formed. The transistors on the penetration current net are set down as ON-state, and the penetration current net is replaced with ON-switching path by SLS. These transistors on the path net are replaced with impedance net with optimized value by impedance detection way. After that voltage of each node on the net is computed by OHM’s law, The OUTPUT(Out) voltage is detected as 0.23V_DD shown in Eq. 1. Note that nP2 and nN2 mean additional ratio for a standard value. nP1, nN1 and nN3 are approximately 1 for normal V_G.

Equation (1)

When input-logic (0,1), the similar way to the above diagnosis detects output value with 0.82V_DD (see Eq.2).

Equation (2)

SPICE indicates that input-logic (1,1) outputs 0.25V_DD and input-logic (0,1) outputs 0.83V_DD. Both result denoted same output-voltage. Fig. 8 shows test circuit diagnosis result and comparison with SPICE. Operation time of this way was 1/100 times of SPICE by using SUN ULTRA 60.

4.2. Oscillation phenomenon due to bridging fault

Fault path tracing was evaluated by using simple circuit with four steps sequential Inverter gate (Inv1-Inv2-Inv3-Inv4) shown in Fig.9. Inv4 is composed of parallel connection inverter gates and bridging fault is formed between Inv1 output line and Inv4 one. Note that all of the transistors composing Inverter gate are standard type.

When “L” level is applied to Input (IN), “H” level is outputted at the Inv1 output terminal and “L” level is at Inv4 output terminal, and the logic collision through the feedback loop between Inv1 output and Inv4 is generated, following which a penetration current net is brought by the logic collision phenomenon, and the net is treated as new event unit.

The logic collision which brings penetration current makes OFF_state of P4 & P5 and ON_state of P1, N4 & N5, and ON-switching path net is formed from V_DD to GND through P1, N4 & N5 by SLS (see Fig. 10(a)).

In the step before fault exposure, Gate terminal voltage is normal value (V_DD or GND) which lies out of MD, and the transistors operation point lies in non-saturation
area, and hence impedance value is calculated as normal value “1”.
The ON-switching path net is replaced to impedance net, and voltage of each node is
calculated, and hence the value of W2, being output terminal of the new event unit,
is calculated as “1/3·VDD” shown in Eq. 3. Note that nP1, nN4 and nN5 mean additional ratio for a standard value.

Equation (3)

This value is judged as “L” because of outside of MD. The logic is propagated through Inv2 and Inv3, and “H” level is outputted at Inv4 terminal. Inv1 output logic is “H”, and hence P1, P4 & P5 are ON_state, and N4 & N5 are OFF_state. This state doesn’t bring logic collision and W2 value is kept to “H” level (see Fig. 10(b)). The above operation is repeated and the oscillation phenomenon is generated, shown in Fig.11. The logic propagation time is obtained in the total number of event which logic passes through.

By the similar diagnosis method, an oscillation phenomenon of the circuit applying input ”H” is detected. In the oscillation, logic repeats between 2/3· VDD and GND.
The simulation result of Input logic ”L” is shown in Figure12. Time / pin / value, shown in Fig.12, means event number / transistor drain or line name / logic value (1,0,H,L,Z) respectively. Time 1 to 3 shows logic initializing state. From time 4, diagnosis is started. At time 5 and 17, the logic collision is indicated, and at time 11 shows normal state. The oscillation phenomenon is repeated to each 3 event_times. Note that “W” being line name without operation time, doesn’t be counted as event time.

5. Conclusion

For fault path tracing, diagnosis technology has been developed with transistor operating analysis. The method is worked by voltage based fault simulation and delay time setting of each gate. The comparison of this way with SPICE indicated an approximately same diagnosis result. Operation time of this way was 1/100 times of SPICE. The proposed method makes it possible to detect not only path trace, but oscillation phenomenon brought by feedback fault. The detected doubtful portions are quickly applied to physical analysis.
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Appendix

An example of impedance detection is presented using cell design data shown in table 1. MN5 (NchTr) with L/W= 0.1μ/1.20μ and MP5 (PchTr) with L/W= 0.1μ/1.92μ composing Inverter gate are selected as standard transistor. Considering MN3 (NchTr) with L/W= 0.1μ/0.45μ, the L/W value ratio of MN3 to standard
transistor MN5 is calculated as 2.67 (see Eq. 4).

\[ \text{MN3 (0.1 \frac{-}{0.45 \frac{-}{-}}) \times \text{MN5 (0.1 \frac{-}{1.20 \frac{-}{-}}) = 2.67} \quad (4) \]

When 0.46\(V_{\text{DD}}\) voltage is applied to MN3 gate terminal (\(V_{\text{IN}}=\text{NchTr’s VG}\)), shown in Fig.4, the impedance ratio of the MN3 operating domain to normal operating domain is detected as 5 times. The impedance is, as a result, calculated as 13.33, being the multiplication of the ratio of L/W value and the ratio of impedance value led by operating point (see Eq.5).

\[ 2.67 \times \text{additional ratio(5) } = 13.33 \quad (5) \]
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Figure Caption

Fig. 1. Simplified diagnosis procedure
Fig. 2. Two kinds of candidate bridging faults
Fig. 3. VTC(a) and VDS-IDS(b) curve of Inverter gate.
Fig. 4. Relation between VTC and impedance ratio table
Fig. 5. Diagnosis procedure for bridge
Fig. 6. Full adder circuit layout images
(a) Gate level images (b) Transistor level images
Fig. 7. Full adder circuit with the bridge fault
Fig. 8. Diagnosis result and comparison with SPICE
(P1→N5: Transistor name, W0→W2: line name)
Fig.9. Four steps sequential Inverter gate
Fig. 10. Oscillation phenomenon
Fig. 11. Time chart with oscillation phenomenon
Fig. 12. Simulation result

Tables

Table 1. Cell design date
Table 2. Logic table
Fault area (by publicly known tech.,)

Candidate fault portions (by layout data)

Logic simulation (by voltage based)

High reliable fault portions

Physical analysis

Figure 1
Figure 2
Figure 3
Figure 4
Penetration current net

ON-switching path net by SLS

Optimization between $V_G$ and impedance
(Simulation repetition)

Impedance net

Voltage value of each node

Figure 5
Figure 8
Figure 11
Figure 12

(P / N : Pch Tr / NchTr_drain terminal, Z:High Impedance, W: Line)
\[ V_{out} = \left[ \frac{1}{(1+n_{N2})+1} \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{(1+n_{N2})+1} \right]^{-1} + n_{P2} \right] \cdot V_{DD} \]
\[ \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{n_{P2}+1} \right] \cdot V_{DD} = 0.23 \cdot V_{DD} \quad (1) \]

\[ V_{out} = \left[ \frac{(n_{N2}+1)}{(1+1/n_{P2})+1+1} \right] + (n_{N2}+1) \right] \cdot V_{DD} \]
\[ \cdot \left[ \frac{(n_{N2}+1)}{(n_{N2}+2)} \right] \cdot V_{DD} = 0.82 \cdot V_{DD} \quad (2) \]

\[ V_{out} = \frac{\left[ (n_{N4})^{-1} + (n_{N5})^{-1} \right]^{-1}}{n_{P1} + \left[ (n_{N4})^{-1} + (n_{N5})^{-1} \right]^{-1}} \cdot V_{DD} = (1/3) \cdot V_{DD} \quad (3) \]

equation
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>COUT Normal output</th>
<th>COUT Faulty sample</th>
<th>SOUT Normal output</th>
<th>SOUT Faulty sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abnormal state

Normal state